

WATER SUPPLY CITIZENS ADVISORY COMMITTEE to the Mass. Water Resources Authority 485 Ware Road Belchertown MA 01007 (413) 213-0454 fax: (413) 213-0537 email: info@wscac.org

#### Joint WAC/WSCAC Meeting

Location: Held virtually May 10, 2022 – 10:00 AM

### WAC Members in Bold in Attendance:

Wayne Chouinard (Chair, Town of Arlington), Kannan Vembu (Vice-Chair), Adrianna Cillo (BWSC), Craig Allen, Dan Winograd, George Atallah, James Guiod (AB), Karen Lachmayr, Martin Pillsbury, Mary Adelstein, Philip Ashcroft, Stephen Greene, Taber Keally (NepRWA)

WSCAC Members in Bold in Attendance:

Jerry Eves, WSCAC Chair Michael Baram Whitney Beals William Copithorne, Town of Arlington Steven Daunais, Tata & Howard Andrea Donlon, CT River Conservancy Bill Fadden, OARS

### **Non-Members in Attendance**

Lexi Dewey, WSCAC staff Andreae Downs, WAC staff Mandy Hart, WSCAC staff Valerie Moran, MWRA Director of Waterworks Matthew Dam, MWRA TRAC Director Michael O'Keefe, MWRA Katie Ronan, MWRA Denis Ellis-Hibbett, MWRA Devon Winkler, MWRA Sally Carroll: MWRA David Duest, MWRA Lucner Charlestra, MWRA Rebecca Weidman, MWRA Adelina Huo Griffin Tighe, Rep. Hogan's office Lou Taverna, Chair Advisory Board Stephen Estes-Smargiassi, MWRA

James Guiod – MWRA Advisory Board Bill Kiley, BWSC Paul Lauenstein, NepRWA Martha Morgan, Nashua River Watershed Martin Pillsbury, MAPC Janet Rothrock, League of Women Voters Bruce Spencer

Alexa Sterling, EPA Heather Miller, general counsel, Charles River Watershed Assoc. Jim Barsanti, MassDEP Cathy Coniaris, MassDEP, OMSAP Loretta Fernandez, Northeastern University, OMSAP Immaculate Mchome, Senator Eldridge's Office Juliet Simpson, MIT Sea Grant and MWRA OMSAP Debra Darby, Tetra Tech David White, Save the Alewife Brook John DiModica, Noresc Andreae welcomed WAC and WSCAC members as well as presenters and guests. She then asked for introductions.

Andreae took attendance of WAC members and asked for vote to approve the April minutes. All WAC members present voted in favor and the minutes were approved.

Lexi called for a vote on the draft WSCAC March and April minutes while taking attendance of WSCAC members. No questions were asked on the draft minutes, so Lexi then asked for a motion to approve. Both the March and April WSCAC minutes were approved with Bill Copithorne abstaining.

All non-members present were asked to introduce themselves by name and affiliation.

## Stephen Estes-Smargiassi – MWRA Briefs

At the last WSCAC meeting there was a discussion on the Lead and Copper rule, and since then, there were changes to MWRA's lead and copper rule sample protocols. MWRA sampled in 5 communities in the spring that had had elevated levels of lead last fall. They are sampling this spring, because under the sampling agreement with regulators, if a community tests high in the fall, they are required to re-test in the spring as opposed to their annual once-per-year testing. Once they test in the normal range, than they can go back to once-per-year sampling. Since the 5 communities re-tested were in the normal range this spring, no major community efforts were required.

EPA has imposed some of the future changes in the revised LCR on MWRA starting now. There are a number of things that communities need to start "piloting," including quicker reporting by communities that were above the lead action level. These are subset of the changes that all systems will need to do after October of 2024. The changes the MWRA communities will need to do now include: For homes above the lead action level, communities need to do some investigatory monitoring referred to as "find and fix."

- Communities will need to work with home owners to do sampling try to determine why lead levels are elevated in their home plumbing.
- MWRA will monitor around homes within the distribution system to find out if the distribution system is contributing in any way to lead levels.
- MWRA typically does testing four times per year in accordance with Optimum Water Parameter Water Quality Monitoring.
- When a home is found to be above the normal level, MWRA would need to go and do sampling nearby to demonstrate that local changes in water quality might or might not be contributing to those elevated lead levels.

The MWRA has not so far found evidence that their distribution system has been contributing to the contamination. MWRA will be holding a lead forum with the Advisory Board on May 19, 2022. They will then discuss new sampling requirements for the Metro Boston communities and the requirement that communities complete an inventory of all water service lines. Of course, we continue to urge replacing any lead service lines that are contributing to the issue.

Some MWRA staff are attending the MassDEP award ceremony for continued excellence at Devon Commons today.

The Quabbin Reservoir is full and has been spilling since February and is within normal operation. Other parts of the state, like the Southeast, Cape and Islands are drier. People may notice some construction activity at the Wachusett Dam Lower Gatehouse. Valerie Moran, MWRA Director of Waterworks noted that renovations are being done to the Lower Gate House including replacement of doors and windows for lead abatement. It will take about 20 weeks for this work.

Steve Estes-Smargiassi: Water demand is slightly higher which could be attributed in part to Wellesley and Burlington taking more water due to PFAS contamination in some of their public drinking water wells.

The MWRA is going to studying scenarios for water system expansion, with funds from the Legislature. There will be two system expansion studies, one looking at the Ipswich River Basin communities, the other looking at the South Shore communities. The studies will examine MWRA's capacity to supply water to those two areas of the system and also how delivery might be accomplished should any community in those areas express interest in purchasing water from MWRA. Becky Weidman and her team will be heading up that work. The MWRA is coordinating with the North Shore Resiliency Task Force and Martin Pillsbury at MAPC.

## James Guiod Advisory Board update.

Advisory Board staff are in the final steps of putting together comments and recommendations to the MWRA financial staff. Details will be provided at the May 19<sup>th</sup> Advisory Board meeting.

The second half of the May 19<sup>th</sup> meeting will be the MWRA Lead & Copper workshop. James noted the ongoing discussion on water system expansion, and the possibility of waiving the entrance fee. This discussion will continue into the summer, and there is no anticipated vote on that yet. There is an information packet on this topic on the Advisory Board website.

# **PFAS Interagency Task Force discussion:**

**Water:** PFAS hasn't been found at the Quabbin. WSCAC has a different focus than WAC when looking at the PFAS Interagency Task Force report. Members will be discussing whether the committee wants to comment on any of the recommendations in the report. The committee will also be looking at which towns may be affected by PFAS contamination near the MWRA service area, and which may be interested in joining the MWRA water system. The Town of Hopkinton just voted in support of joining the MWRA, and there will probably be others.

Lexi noted that the MWRA Enabling Act allows communities affected by public drinking water contamination can request admission to join the MWRA.

Lexi said WSCAC members have voiced support additional funding for detection and remediation through MassDEP programs and loans. The committee is also supportive of the work of the Department of Public Health to raise public awareness and provide outreach to the many small communities around the state. Members have voiced support on the importance of phasing out PFAS in consumer products. The conversation is ongoing within the committee.

**Wastewater:** Andreae reflected on the report's findings: PFAS is pervasive, so wastewater in the MWRA system does have PFAS, and the effluent and biosolids have PFAS.

The report notes that the highest concentrations found to date are near factories that produce or use PFAS and in areas where AFFF (firefighting foam) were commonly used.

State agencies, DEP, EPA and DPH are all taking action. Need to see what legislation is being drafted. The report listened to WAC and others by recommending that PFAS be regulated as a class, since substitutions may be equally harmful. The report also acknowledges that various methods of "destroying" PFAS may just be

changing their composition to a PFAS that can't be detected—as there are 9,000+ compounds and currently only 29 can be detected using an EPA-approved method.

MWRA: Matthew Dam, Director of TRAC:

TRAC is investigating wastewater streams within the collection system that may have PFAS. TRAC is honing in on industry types that are more likely to have PFAS, but will be sampling all of them. The Office of Technical Assistance can assist industry to find the source of detected PFAS and eliminate it from their processes.

MWRA is doing this in preparation for new NPDES permit requirements that will be included in our new Clinton and Deer Island permits. The draft general permit that will be issued for Clinton requires PFAS sampling (influent, effluent, biosolids, and Significant Industrial Users).

In wastewater monitoring, MWRA is testing for 20 different PFAS. Drinking water supply tests for six. The Clinton permit requires monitoring for 16. MWRA can only sample for the PFAS that are detected using standardized sampling methods. So far, only 29 PFAS compounds are detectable using EPA- approved analytical methods.

Q: "Of the 29 PFAS tested, are these understood to be the lion's share of the contamination or at least an approximate idea of their percentage of the total?"

Not necessarily.

Q: How will the recommendations of the PFAS Interagency Task Force impact MWRA.

The Task Force recommends looking for other PFAS in drinking water beyond the current 6 included in the Drinking Water Regulations. MWRA does not believe this would impact our drinking water source given the location and the well-protected watershed of our source water. Sampling of additional PFAS compounds will likely impact community systems outside of MWRA's service area, particularly those that have already detected PFAS in their source water. Depending on what sampling detects, there may be need for additional treatment or source switching (system expansion).

MWRA supports policies focused on source identity and control.

Q: You mentioned that there wasn't a problem at the Quabbin, but the elementary school located in West Salem lost their wells to PFAS. As wells in neighboring towns get sampled, they are finding PFAS. Finding the location of car and house fires may help locate hotspots. Neighboring towns near schools don't want to test because they don't want to be held responsible. Problem on this watershed is the middle branch of Swift River.

A: AFFF use does impact wells and public water supplies. The Task Force discussed this. But the Quabbin is well protected.

Q: Are PFAS mostly in wastewater effluent or in biosolids?

A: MWRA is still testing to determine PFAS concentrations in effluent and biosolids.

Q: Is it possible that some PFAS are destroyed in the biosolids production, when they are dried, perhaps?

A: The known methods for PFAS destruction happen at much higher temperatures than the pellet plant reaches, so that scenario is unlikely. If the biosolids were then burned at the high temperatures of pyrolysis, the PFAS may be destroyed. There are concerns that pyrolysis and incineration may aerosolize PFAS- more research in this area is needed.

Q: that's also not economically feasible

Q: holding industries accountable is vital. Until held accountable, the public is going to pick up the tab and suffer the consequences. Sharon voted at town meeting to spend \$15 million to filter half of the water supply that has a PFAS problem. That should be paid for by the people who produced PFAS, rather than the public.

Q: There are already efforts in place to hold companies creating and using PFAS accountable.

Griffin Tighe—Legislation:

The legislators on the PFAS task force are planning to implement all of the report's legislative recommendations. Already, the House budget includes an additional \$8m for DEP to work on PFAS. Looking for more funds in ARPA and the infrastructure BIL. They look forward to a more in-depth conversation on PFAS later. Need better funding for diagnostic testing.

Q: If there were a class action lawsuit, it would publicize the issue Most people don't know what PFAS is or how bad it is. She asks if a lawsuit is a viable solution, or better funding for diagnostics and disposal, as well as holding companies accountable.

A: Several states' attorneys general have sued to recover costs. He is not aware of any class action lawsuits, but it is plausible.

Q this may be a "wakeup call for the companies. Maybe they will do something."

### WSCAC Briefs:

WSCAC's last meeting of this fiscal year is on June 14<sup>th</sup>, and we are hoping to have it in person at the Blue Meadow Conference Center. Any WAC members or anyone that wants to attend may join the in-person meeting.

Lexi will be drafting comments on the PFAS report and looking for members' suggestions and feedback over the next few weeks.

The Drought Management Task Force met last week. Members recommended a Level I drought notification for the Southeast and the Cape and Islands. The Secretary of EOEEA has not yet issued a decision yet. We will forward it when we receive it.

Hopkinton voted in favor in joining MWRA. We will be monitoring that process.

Lexi took several pictures of the repaired Quabbin Park Cemetery office building. It has a new slate roof, copper gutters, and new trim. It is done in time for the Memorial Day celebration. The Friends of Quabbin have offered to help pay for an assessment by a professional stone mason of DCR's damaged keystone bridge. The Ware River Public Access Plan was due to MassDEP on April 30, but has not yet been released yet by DCR Commissioner Stephanie Cooper.

DCR-DWSP's Sudbury Public Access Plan should be completed by the end of June

Andreae will also be drafting comments on the PFAS report and looking for members' suggestions and feedback.

The meeting was adjourned.